

ISGUR-WISE FUNCTION IN RELATIVISTIC QUARK MODEL

Han-Wen Huang

Department of Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, P.R.China

Cong-Feng Qiao, Kuang-Ta Chao

CCAST (World Laboratory), P.O.Box 8730, Beijing 100080, P.R.China

Department of Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, P.R.China

Abstract

Hadronic matrix elements are expressed in the framework of BS formalism, and in the limit of heavy quark mass $m_Q \rightarrow \infty$, we find that all form factors in processes of $B \rightarrow D$ weak transition can be incorporated into a single universal Isgur-Wise ($I - W$) function $\xi(v \cdot v')$, which was first discovered by Isgur and Wise in heavy quark effective theory (HQET). By introducing a phenomenological interaction kernel, we solve the BS equation in leading order of $1/m_Q$, and calculate the numerical result for $\xi(v \cdot v')$. We find that the slope of $\xi(v \cdot v')$ at the zero recoil point $v \cdot v' = 1$ is larger for the process in which the involved light degree of freedom is s quark than the case of u, d quarks. Our results agree with others.

In recent years a remarkable progress has been achieved in the physics of systems containing one heavy quark. HQET points out that in the limit of heavy quark mass $m_Q \rightarrow \infty$ a spin-heavy flavor symmetry [1] emerges and the weak decays of heavy hadrons can be described by a single form factor (the “ $I - W$ function”) $\xi(v \cdot v')$ [2] (where v and v' are the four-velocities of heavy meson before (after) the transition). Since $I - W$ function plays a center role in the weak decays of heavy hadrons, many authors try to study its analytical properties approximately by fitting with

the data. We list some of results as following: ref. [3] gives $\xi(v \cdot v') = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2(1-v \cdot v')}{W_0^2}}$, $W_0 = 1.18 \pm 0.17$; ref. [4] gives $\xi(v \cdot v') = 1 + a(1 - (v \cdot v')^2)$, $a = 0.53$; ref. [5] gives $\xi(v \cdot v') = e^{2b(1-v \cdot v')}$, $b = 0.91 \pm 0.03$. It can be easily derived from current conservation that $\xi(v \cdot v')$ is normalized to unity at the zero recoil point. For $B \rightarrow D$ semileptonic decay processes the kinematic region is small due to $(v \cdot v')_{max} = \frac{M_b^2 + M_c^2}{2M_b M_c} \approx 1.6$. Therefore if we know the slope at $v \cdot v' = 1$, $\xi(v \cdot v')$ can be approximately written as $\xi(v \cdot v') = 1 + \rho(v \cdot v' - 1)$ for small non-zero recoil. We can not predict the $\xi(v \cdot v')$ in theory only by symmetry consideration, because long-distance effect associated with strong interactions of light degrees of freedom so far can not be calculated from first principles. Therefore many models have been used to attempted to estimate the ρ . Lattice [7] and QCD sum rule [8] have been applied to the calculation with rather different conclusions. Nonrelativistic quark dynamical models [9] appear to success in deriving the spectra of $Q\bar{q}$ systems but fail in discussing the form factor, they gave a ρ which is largely underestimated, because the light degrees of freedom can not be treated as light constituent quarks with nonrelativistic kinematics in $Q\bar{q}$ systems. Recently Close [10] has calculated ρ in a relativistic quark model, which agree with the empirical value for $b \rightarrow c$ transition. In his calculation, a gaussian ansatz wave function is used, they did not solve the bound state equation which associate with the interaction between quarks.

In this paper we will use a relativistic quark dynamic model in which mesons are treated as a bound state consist of a pair of constituent quark and anti quark and described by relativistic BS wavefunction. In the limit of $m_Q \rightarrow \infty$, we find that S wave 0^- and 1^- states satisfy the same reduced BS equation. By introducing a phenomenological interquark potential we solve the zeroth order wave function at rest frame, which can be generalized to moving frame simply by the substitution $\vec{q} \rightarrow q_\perp$. Then we apply this formalism to the calculation of form factors for weak transitions between heavy mesons and give the expressions of universal $I - W$ function together with its slope at zero recoil point in terms of the solved zeroth order wave function. At last the numerical results are derived.

We start from BS wavefunction of meson, which is defined by

$$\chi_P(x_1, x_2) = \langle 0 | T(\Psi_1(x_1) \bar{\Psi}_2(x_2)) | P \rangle. \quad (1)$$

In the momentum space, it can be written as

$$\chi_P(q) = e^{-iP \cdot X} \int d^4x \chi_P(x) e^{-iq \cdot x}. \quad (2)$$

Here we use the standard center of mass and relative variables

$$X = \eta_1 x_1 + \eta_2 x_2, \quad x = x_1 - x_2, \quad (3)$$

where $\eta_i = \frac{m_i}{m_1 + m_2}$ ($i = 1, 2$). Then the bound state BS equation in momentum space reads

$$(\not{p}_1 - m_1) \chi_P(q) (\not{p}_2 + m_2) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int d^4k G(P, q - k) \chi_P(k), \quad (4)$$

where p_1 and p_2 represent the momenta of quark and antiquark respectively, $G(P, q - k)$ is the interaction kernel which dominates the inter-quark dynamics. According to Eq.(3) we have

$$p_1 = \eta_1 P + q, \quad p_2 = \eta_2 P - q. \quad (5)$$

We define the three dimension BS wavefunction

$$\Phi_{\vec{P}}(\vec{q}) = \int dq_0 \chi_P(q), \quad (6)$$

which satisfies the BS equation [11]:

$$\Phi_{\vec{P}}(\vec{q}) = \frac{1}{P^0 - E_1 - E_2} \Lambda_+^1 \gamma^0 \int d^3k G(\vec{P}, \vec{q} - \vec{k}) \Phi_{\vec{P}}(\vec{k}) \gamma^0 \Lambda_-^2, \quad (7)$$

where $G(\vec{P}, \vec{q} - \vec{k})$ represents the “instantaneous” part of the potential $G(P, q - k)$, and Λ_+^1 and Λ_-^2 are positive energy projectors of the quark and antiquark respectively,

$$\Lambda_+^1 = \frac{(E_1 + \gamma_0 \vec{\gamma} \cdot \vec{p}_1 + m_1 \gamma_0)}{2E_1}, \quad (8)$$

$$\Lambda_-^2 = \frac{(E_2 - \gamma_0 \vec{\gamma} \cdot \vec{p}_2 - m_2 \gamma_0)}{2E_2}. \quad (9)$$

Here $E_1 = \sqrt{m_1^2 + \vec{p}_1^2}$, $E_2 = \sqrt{m_2^2 + \vec{p}_2^2}$. In deriving the equation (7) we have used the “instantaneous approximation” and neglected the negative energy projectors in the quark propagators.

In the moving frame of velocity v , the BS wavefunctions of S wave 0^- and 1^- mesons can be written as following:

$$\Phi_{\vec{P}}^{0-}(\vec{q}) = \Lambda_+^1 \gamma_0 (1 + \not{v}) \gamma_5 \gamma_0 \Lambda_-^2 \varphi(\vec{P}, \vec{q}); \quad (10)$$

$$\Phi_{\vec{P}}^{1-}(\vec{q}) = \Lambda_+^1 \gamma_0 (1 + \not{v}) \not{e} \gamma_0 \Lambda_-^2 f(\vec{P}, \vec{q}), \quad (11)$$

where $v^\mu = \frac{P^\mu}{M}$, P^μ and M are the 4-momentum and mass of meson. While $\not{e} = \gamma_\mu e^\mu$, where e^μ is the polarization vector of 1^- meson. $\varphi(\vec{P}, \vec{q})$ and $f(\vec{P}, \vec{q})$ are scalar functions of variables $\vec{P}$ and $\vec{q}$ in general. Here for convenience we assume that φ and f only depend on variable $|q_\perp| = |q - q_\parallel| = |q - (q \cdot v)v|$. This approach is equivalence to decomposing relative momentum variable q into $q_\parallel$ and $q_\perp$ instead of q_0 and $\vec{q}$ in the moving frame. It is obviously that in the rest frame $v = (1, \vec{0})$, $|q_\perp| = |\vec{q}|$.

In the heavy quark limit $m_1 \rightarrow \infty$, we get $p_1^\mu \rightarrow P^\mu$, $p_2 = m_2 v - q$, and

$$\Lambda_+^1(\vec{p}_1) \gamma_0 = \frac{1 + \not{v}}{2v_0}; \quad (12)$$

$$\gamma_0 \Lambda_-^2(\vec{p}_2) = \frac{E_2 \gamma_0 - \vec{\gamma} \cdot \vec{p}_2 - m_2}{2E_2}. \quad (13)$$

Thus the wave functions (10) and (11) read

$$\Phi_{\vec{P}}^{0-}(\vec{q}) = \frac{1 + \not{v}}{2v_0} \gamma_5 \gamma_0 \Lambda_-^2 \varphi(|q_\perp|); \quad (14)$$

$$\Phi_{\vec{P}}^{1-}(\vec{q}) = \frac{1 + \not{v}}{2v_0} \not{e} \gamma_0 \Lambda_-^2 f(|q_\perp|). \quad (15)$$

The interaction kernel is usually taken as the one consisting of a scalar and a vector kernel, which can be written as

$$G(\vec{q}) \Phi(\vec{q}) = G_s(\vec{q}) \Phi(\vec{q}) + G_V(\vec{q}) \gamma_\mu \Phi(\vec{q}) \gamma^\mu \quad (16)$$

Substituting (14), (15) and (16) into (7) and making $v = (1, \vec{0})$, we obtain that $\varphi(|\vec{q}|)$ and $f(|\vec{q}|)$ satisfy the same reduced BS function,

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon \phi_{0-}(|\vec{q}|) &= E_2 \phi_{0-}(|\vec{q}|) - \int d^3 k (G_S(\vec{q} - \vec{k}) - G_V(\vec{q} - \vec{k})) \frac{E_2 + m_2}{2E_2} \phi_{0-}(|\vec{k}|) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{E_2 + m_2} \vec{q} \cdot \int d^3 k (G_S(\vec{q} - \vec{k}) + G_V(\vec{q} - \vec{k})) \vec{k} \frac{1}{2E_2} \phi_{0-}(|\vec{k}|), \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where $\epsilon = M - m_1$. We can easily obtain the scalar wave function $\varphi(|q_\perp|)$ and $f(|q_\perp|)$ in moving frame only by making variable substitution $\vec{q} \rightarrow q_\perp$ in $\varphi(|\vec{q}|)$.

In this BS description, the hadronic matrix elements of weak transition $|P, M \rangle \rightarrow |P', M' \rangle$ are given as [12]

$$\langle P', M' | \bar{Q} \Gamma Q | P, M \rangle = (2\pi)^4 i \int d^4 p_2 \text{Tr} \bar{\chi}_{P'}(q') \Gamma \chi_P(q) (\not{p}_2 + m_2), \quad (18)$$

where $\bar{\chi}_{P'}(q') = -\gamma^0 \chi_{P'}^+(q') \gamma^0$. Eq.(18) can be reduced into a simpler form which is expressed in terms of the three dimensional BS wave functions, on condition that the negative energy projectors in quark propagators are neglected and the kernel is independent of the relative time variable

$$\langle P', M' | \bar{Q} \Gamma Q | P, M \rangle = (2\pi)^3 \int d^3 p_2 \text{Tr} \Phi_{P'}^+(\vec{q}') \gamma^0 \Gamma \Phi_P(\vec{q}), \quad (19)$$

where the quark may change its flavor while the antiquark remains a spectator. Then the normalization of the wave function $\Phi_P(\vec{q})$ reads

$$(2\pi)^3 \int d^3 q \text{Tr} \Phi_P^+(\vec{q}) \Phi_P(\vec{q}) = 2E = 2\sqrt{M^2 + \vec{P}^2} \quad (20)$$

It is well known that, in the heavy quark effective theory, we have the following relations for $B \rightarrow D$ weak transition in the limit $m_Q \rightarrow \infty$:

(i). Matrix element of vector current for $0^- \rightarrow 0^-$,

$$\langle P' | V_\mu | P \rangle = \sqrt{MM'} \xi(v \cdot v') (v_\mu + v'_{\mu}); \quad (21)$$

(ii). Matrix element of vector current for $0^- \rightarrow 1^-$,

$$\langle P' | V_\mu | P \rangle = -i\sqrt{MM'} \xi(v \cdot v') \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} v^\nu v'^\alpha v^\beta; \quad (22)$$

(iii). Matrix element of axial vector current for $0^- \rightarrow 1^-$,

$$\langle P' | A_\mu | P \rangle = \sqrt{MM'} \xi(v \cdot v') [(1 + v \cdot v' e_\mu - e \cdot v v'_\mu)]. \quad (23)$$

Here v and v' are four velocities of mesons before and after the transition. We find that all form factors are reduced to a single I-W function $\xi(v \cdot v')$, which satisfies normalization condition

$\xi(1) = 1$. I-W function is relative to the light degree of freedom in heavy meson and can not be determined only by symmetric analysis. In our approach we will easily derive the unitary I-W function, which can be expressed in terms of the leading order BS wavefunction. Additionally by introducing a phenomenological interaction kernel, we solve the BS wavefunction and calculate I-W function.

Substituting the expressions of wavefunction (14) (15) into the transition formula (19), we find

(i). Matrix element of vector current for $0^- \rightarrow 0^-$,

$$\langle P' | V_\mu | P \rangle = (2\pi)^3 \int d^3 p_2 \text{Tr} [\Phi_{\vec{P}'}^{0^-+}(q'_\perp) \gamma^0 \gamma_\mu \Phi_{\vec{P}}^{0-}(\vec{q})] = A(v_\mu + v'_{\mu}); \quad (24)$$

(ii). Matrix element of vector current for $0^- \rightarrow 1^-$,

$$\langle P' | V_\mu | P \rangle = (2\pi)^3 \int d^3 p_2 \text{Tr} [\Phi_{\vec{P}'}^{1-+}(\vec{q}') \gamma^0 \gamma_\mu \Phi_{\vec{P}}^{0-}(\vec{q})] = -iA \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} e^\nu v'^\alpha v^\beta; \quad (25)$$

(iii). Matrix element of axial vector current for $0^- \rightarrow 1^-$,

$$\langle P' | A_\mu | P \rangle = (2\pi)^3 \int d^3 p_2 \text{Tr} [\Phi_{\vec{P}'}^{1-+}(\vec{q}') \gamma^0 \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu \Phi_{\vec{P}}^{0-}(\vec{q})] = A[(1 + v \cdot v')e_\mu - e \cdot v v'_\mu], \quad (26)$$

where

$$A = \frac{2(2\pi)^3}{v^0 v'^0} \int d^3 p_2 \left\{ \frac{m_2}{E_2} + \frac{1}{1 + v \cdot v'} [v^0 + v'^0 - \frac{\vec{p}_2 \cdot (\vec{v} + \vec{v}')}{E_2}] \right\} \varphi(|q_\perp|) \varphi(|q'_\perp|) \quad (27)$$

They are consistent with the result derived from HQET, comparing with (21), (22) and (23), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(v \cdot v') &= \frac{A}{\sqrt{MM'}} = \frac{2(2\pi)^3}{\sqrt{MM'} v_0 v'_0} \int d^3 p_2 \left\{ \frac{m_2}{E_2} + \frac{1}{1 + v \cdot v'} \right. \\ &\quad \left. [v^0 + v'^0 - \frac{\vec{p}_2 \cdot (\vec{v} + \vec{v}')}{E_2}] \right\} \varphi(|q_\perp|) \varphi(|q'_\perp|). \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

The normalization conditions of initial and final wavefunctions read

$$(2\pi)^3 \int d^3 p_2 \frac{m_2 + E_2 v^0 - \vec{p}_2 \cdot \vec{v}}{v_0 E_2} \varphi^2(q_\perp) = \frac{\sqrt{M^2 + \vec{P}^2}}{2}, \quad (29)$$

$$(2\pi)^3 \int d^3 p_2 \frac{m_2 + E_2 v'^0 - \vec{p}_2' \cdot \vec{v}'}{v'_0 E_2} \varphi^2(q_\perp) = \frac{\sqrt{M'^2 + \vec{P}'^2}}{2}. \quad (30)$$

For convenience, we assume initial state is rest $v = (1, \vec{0})$, $v' = (v'_0, \vec{v}')$, then

$$v \cdot v' = v'^0 = \frac{\sqrt{M'^2 + \vec{P}'^2}}{M'^2}.$$

I-W function can be simplified as

$$\xi(v \cdot v') = \frac{2(2\pi)^3}{\sqrt{MM'}} \int d^3 p_2 \left[\frac{E_2 + m_2}{E_2} - \frac{\vec{p}_2 \cdot \vec{v}'}{(1 + v \cdot v')E_2} \right] \varphi(|q_\perp|) \varphi(|q'_\perp|). \quad (31)$$

Now we remark about the relations of momentum variables appearing above. The usual quark model assume that the four momenta of the light degrees of freedom are conserved during the transition implies that $p_2 = p'_2$ and hence that $m_2 v - q = m_2 v' - q'$, so we get

$$q_\perp^2 = q^2 - (q \cdot v)^2 = -\vec{q}^2 = -\vec{p}_2^2, \quad (32)$$

$$q'^2_\perp = q'^2 - (q' \cdot v')^2 = (m_2 v' - p_2)^2 - ((m_2 v' - p_2) \cdot v')^2. \quad (33)$$

In order to get the relation of $q_\perp^2$ and $q'^2_\perp$ in terms of m_2, v, v' , we must determine the zero component p_2^0 . If we go to the zero-binding limit, the light quark is on-shell $p_2 = p'_2 = (E_2, \vec{p}_2)$, $E_2 = \sqrt{m_2^2 + \vec{p}_2^2}$. Then

$$q'^2_\perp = \vec{p}_2^2 - (E_2 v'_0 - \vec{p}_2 \cdot \vec{v}')^2.$$

We employ the following interquark potentials including a long-ranged confinement potential (Lorentz scalar) and a short-ranged one-gluon exchange potential (Lorentz vector)

$$V_S(r) = \lambda r \frac{1 - e^{-\alpha r}}{\alpha r},$$

$$V_V(r) = -\frac{4}{3} \frac{\alpha_s(r)}{r} e^{-\alpha r}, \quad (34)$$

where the introduction of the factor $e^{-\alpha r}$ is to regulate the infrared divergence and also to incorporate the color screening effects of the dynamical light quark pair on the $Q\bar{Q}$ linear confinement potential. In momentum space the potentials become

$$G_S(\vec{p}) = -\frac{\lambda}{\alpha} \delta^3(\vec{p}) + \frac{\lambda}{\pi^2} \frac{1}{(\vec{p}^2 + \alpha^2)^2},$$

$$G_V(\vec{p}) = -\frac{2}{3\pi^2} \frac{\alpha_s(\vec{p})}{\vec{p}^2 + \alpha^2}, \quad (35)$$

where $\alpha_s(\vec{p})$ is the quark-gluon running coupling constant and is assumed to become a constant of $O(1)$ as $\vec{p}^2 \rightarrow 0$

$$\alpha_s(p) = \frac{12\pi}{27} \frac{1}{\ln(a + p^2/\Lambda_{QCD}^2)}, \quad (36)$$

which saturation value is

$$\alpha_s(p=0) = \frac{12\pi}{27} \frac{1}{\ln a}.$$

The constant λ, α, a and Λ_{QCD} are the parameters that characterize the potentials. Based on the kernel above we can solve Eq.(17) numerical. We use

$$\lambda = 0.20 \text{Gev}^2, \quad a = 1.0, \quad \Lambda_{QCD} = 0.21 \text{Gev}, \quad \alpha = 0.06 \text{Gev}, \quad (37)$$

and quark mass

$$m_{u,d} = 0.35 \text{Gev}, \quad m_s = 0.5 \text{Gev}.$$

With these parameters the correct $2S-1S$ spacing and $s-u, d, 1^- - 0^-$ splitting can be derived. Substituting $\varphi(|q_\perp|)$ and $\varphi(|q'_\perp|)$ obtained from solving Eq.(17) into (31), we get I-W function $\xi(v \cdot v')$ in the range of 1–1.6 for $v \cdot v'$ (Fig.1). We find they depend on the light degrees of freedom, the slope decrease as the mass of light quark increase, this is agree with the results of others [6].

Finally we give the slope of I-W function at zero recoiling by differential of $\xi(v \cdot v')$ for $v \cdot v' = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &= \left. \frac{d\xi(v \cdot v')}{d(v \cdot v')} \right|_{v \cdot v' = 1} \\ &= \frac{-2}{c} \int d^3 p_2 \left[\frac{2(E_2 + m_2)}{E_2} (\psi'(\omega))^2 (\vec{p}_2 \cdot \hat{v}')^2 E_2^2 + \frac{\varphi^2(|\vec{p}_2|)}{4} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \varphi(|\vec{p}_2|) \psi'(\omega) (\vec{p}_2 \cdot \hat{v}')^2 \right], \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

where

$$c = \int d^3 p_2 \frac{E_2 + m_2}{E_2} \varphi^2(|\vec{p}_2|).$$

Here we have defined a new function ψ satisfies

$$\psi(\omega) = \varphi(|q_\perp|) = \varphi(|\vec{p}_2|),$$

for $\omega = \vec{p}_2^2$. Using the wavefunction solving from (17) with parameters (37), we get

$$\rho_{u,d} = -1.14, \quad \rho_s = -1.22.$$

In order to examine the sensitivity of calculated result to the potential parameters, we choice another group of parameters

$$\lambda = 0.2, a = 1.0, \Lambda_{QCD} = 0.15, \alpha = 0.06, m_{u,d} = 0.35, m_s = 0.5$$

we then get

$$\rho_{u,d} = -1.18, \quad \rho_s = -1.27.$$

We find that the value of ρ increase as Λ_{QCD} increase, although the change is very small. This is resonable because ρ mainly depend on the overlap integral between wavefunctions before and after transition $\varphi(|q_\perp|)\varphi(|q'_\perp|)$, when light quark mass increase or Λ_{QCD} decrease the wavefunction in momentum space become “thinner” and fall off faster, so supress the overlap part and enlarge the value of ρ . Our approach, in obtaining the scalar wavefunction at moving frame only by a convariant transformation $\vec{q} \rightarrow q_\perp$ in the wave function at rest frame, is resonable.

In conclusion, our approach not only give the model dependent results in agreement with HQET in the heavy quark mass $m_Q \rightarrow \infty$, but also develop a model to calculate the I-W function which can not be predicted in HQET. We obtain the slope $\rho = -1.1$, $\rho = -1.2$ for light quark being u,d and s respectively, which is consistent with empirical value and have significantly changing comparing with the result of nonrelativistic model. The numerical values for ρ are insensitive to the choice of parameters in potentials. These show that in $Q\bar{q}$ systems, the light constituent quark is relativistic particle and relativistic effects must be taken into consideration.

REFERENCES

- [1] N.Isgur and M.B.Wise, Phys.Lett.B232(1989)113; Phys.Lett.B237(1990)527
- [2] H.Georgi, Phys.Lett.B240(1990)447
- [3] J.Rosner, Phys.Rev.D42(1990)3732
- [4] T.Mannel and W.Roberts and Z.Ryzak, Phys.Lett.B259(1991)359
- [5] T.Mannel, W.Roberts, Z.Ryzak, Phys.Lett.B255(1991)593
- [6] H.Y.Jin, C.S.Huang and Y.B.Dai, Z.Phys.C56(1992)707, C60(1993)527
- [7] UKQCD Collaboration, preprint Edinburgh 93/525; Southampton SHEP 92/93-17
- [8] B.Blok, M.Shifman, Phys.Rev.D47(1993)2949; M.Neubert, Phys.Rev.D45(1992)2451
- [9] N.Isgur, D.Scora, B.Grinstein and M.Wise, Phys.Rev.D39(1989)799
- [10] F.E.Close, A.Wambach, Nucl.Phys.B412(1994)169
- [11] J.H.Liu, Doctoral Thesis, Peking University, May 1993(unpublished)
- [12] J.Tang, J.H.Liu, K.T.Chao, Phys.Rev.D51, 3501(1995) and references therein

Fig1. Isgur-Wise function in weak transition process $B \rightarrow D$ with light quark u, d (solid) and s (dashed)

